

Traditional CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY Performance Appraisal

Employee Name:		Supervisor Name:	
Employee ID #:		Supervisor ID #:	
Title:		Title:	
CU Hire Date:		Department:	
Appraisal Period:	01/01/2024 to 12/31/2024	Length of time you have	supervised employee
Due Date:	03/21/2025	Years	Months

FUNCTIONAL AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY

Rating Standards

Not Applicable	The employee is not required to perform in a specific rating factor and it cannot be measured.
<u>Unacceptable</u>	Work performance is inadequate and fails to meet the standards of performance required for the position. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.
Improvement Needed	Work performance does not consistently meet the standards of performance for the position. Serious effort is needed to improve performance.
Meets Expectations	Work performance consistently meets the standards of performance for the position.
Exceeds Expectations	Work performance consistently exceeds the standards of performance for the position.
Outstanding	Work performance is consistently and significantly superior to the standards of performance required for the position.

Not Appl	Unaccept	Impr Needed	Meets Exp	Exceeds Exp	Outstanding	Rating Factors	Evaluate each of the rating factors below by checking the appropriate box to the left of each factor. (For those factors not evaluated, please check "Not Applicable.") Pertinent comments may be made in the box provided to the right of the factor. They are required for ratings of "Unacceptable" or "Improvement Needed," and are encouraged for ratings of "Outstanding".
----------	----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	----------------	---

Technical Skills (Effectiveness with which the employee applies job knowledge and skill to job assignments)

		Job knowledge	Comments (if Appropriate)
		Analyzes Problems	
		Provides Suggestions for Work Improvement	
		Employs Tools of the Job Competently	
		Follows Proper Safety Procedures	

Quality of Work (Manner in which the employee completes job assignments)

		Accuracy or Precision	Comments (if Appropriate)
		Thoroughness/Neatness	
		Reliability	
		Responsiveness to Requests for Service	
		Follow Through / Follow Up	
		Judgment/Decision Making	

-Confidential-

Not appl	Unaccept	Impr Needed	Meets Exp	Exceeds Exp	Outstanding	Rating Factors	Evaluate each of the rating factors below by checking the appropriate box to the left of each factor. (For those factors not evaluated, please check "Not Applicable.") Pertinent comments may be made in the box provided to the right of the factor. They are required for ratings of "Unacceptable" or "Improvement Needed," and are encouraged for ratings of "Outstanding".
----------	----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	----------------	---

Interpersonal Skills (Effectiveness of the employee's interactions with others)

			With Co-Workers	Comments (if Appropriate)
			With Supervisors	
			With Other Faculty, Staff Students, and/or the Community	
			Team Participation	
			Shares Information Willingly	
			Commitment to Team Success	

Communication Skills (If applicable for to the job)

			Written Expression	Comments (if Appropriate)
			Oral Expression	
			Tact and Diplomacy	

Approach to Work (Characteristics the employee demonstrates while performing job assignments)

Actively Seeks Ways to Streamline Processes	Comments (if Appropriate)
Open to New Ideas and Approaches	
Initiative	
Planning and Organization	
Flexible/Adaptable	
Follows Instructions	
Challenges Status Quo Processes in Appropriate Ways	
Seeks Additional Training and Development	
Attendance	

			Priority Setting	Comments (if Appropriate)
			Amount of Work Completed	
			Work Completed on Schedule	

-Confidential-

Not Appl	Unaccept	Impr Needed	Meets Exp	Exceeds Exp	Outstanding	Rating Factors	Evaluate each of the rating factors below by checking the appropriate box to the left of each factor. (For those factors not evaluated, please check "Not Applicable.") Pertinent comments may be made in the box provided to the right of the factor. They are required for ratings of "Unacceptable" or "Improvement Needed," and are encouraged for ratings of "Outstanding".
----------	----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	----------------	--

Supervisory/Leadership Skills (applies only to employee who is a manager, supervisor, or lead)

	Support of CU Diversity Efforts/Programs	Comments (if Appropriate)
	Trains and Develops Staff	
	Properly Aligns Responsibility, Accountability, and Authority	
	Evaluates Staff Regularly	
	Faces Performance Problems Squarely	
	Supports Responsible Risk Taking	
	Controls Costs and Maximizes Resources	
	Instills Pride in Performance, Service, Innovation, and Quality	
	Sets High Standards for Self as Well as others	
	Employs Broad Institutional Goals in Evaluating Unit Effectiveness	
	Supports Useful Debate and Disagreement	
	Welcomes Constructive Criticism	
	Fosters Respect for Facts, Data, and Objective Analysis	
	Uses Analytical Tools and Models for Process Improvement	
	Uses Data to Measure Outcomes, Track Quality, and Enable Improvement	
	Sets Specific Goals for Simplicity Productivity, and Process	
	Improvements	
	Supports Experimentation and Brainstorming that leads to	
	Innovation and Learning	

	IMPROVEMEN		MEETS	1	EXCEEDS	1		
UNACCEPTABLE	NEEDED		EXPECTATIONS		EXPECTATIONS		OUTSTANDING	
Work performance is inadequate and fails to meet the standards of performance required for the position. Performance at this level cannot be allowed to continue.	Work performance doe: consistently meet the standards of performan for the position. Serious effort is needed to impr performance.	e standa for the	Work performance consistently meets the standards of performance for the position.		Work performance consistently exceeds the standards of performance for the position.		Work performance is consistently and significantly superior to the standards of performance required for the position.	

Important: If an employee's overall performance is rated as either "Unacceptable" or "Improvement Needed", please contact the <u>Employee Relations team</u> in the Office of Human Resources prior to meeting with the employee.

Supervisor's Comments

Employee Comment / Reactions

Employee Signature:	

Date:

I have read and discussed this evaluation with my supervisor and I understand its contents. My signature means that I have been advised of my performance status and does not necessarily imply that I agree with either the appraisal or the contents.

Supervisor	Department Head
Signature:	Signature:
Date:	Date: